## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/623,274 07/18/2003		Brian Gonsalves	1033-SS00378	2414	
34456	7590 12/21/2004		EXAMINER		
	ARSON & ABEL L.L	CHAI, LONGBIT			
AUSTIN, TX	ON THE LAKE STE 26 78746	)	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			2131	•	

DATE MAILED: 12/21/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<del></del>		Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/623,27		GONSALVES ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Longbit Ci	nai	2131				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication				Idress			
Period fo	or Reply			·				
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT sisions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 (SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicating period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory reto reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the ad patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CION.  CFR 1.136(a). In no eve ion.  s, a reply within the statu period will apply and will y statute, cause the appli	nt, however, may a reply be tim tory minimum of thirty (30) day: expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status			,					
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	23 December 20	003.					
·	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
'=	Since this application is in condition for a	_		secution as to the	e merits is			
•—	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)	Claim(s) is/are pending in the app	lication						
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	i) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are rejected.							
·								
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)[	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election re	equirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by the Exa	aminer.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>18 July 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo	oreign priority und	ler 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority docu	ıments have beer	n received in Applicati	on No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the	e priority docume	nts have been receive	ed in this National	Stage			
	application from the International E	•	• • •					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachmen								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.								
3) 🛛 Infor	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/ r No(s)/Mail Date 10/30/2003.			atent Application (PT	O-152)			
•	· <del></del>		· <del></del>					

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## **Priority**

1. No claim for priority has been made in this application.

The effective filing date for the subject matter defined in the pending claims in this application is 7/18/2003.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1 6, 8 14, and 16 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cohen (Patent Number: US 6477595 B1), hereinafter referred to as Cohen.

As per claim 1, 10 and 19, Cohen teaches a system comprising: a first interface to a local area network connection to an end-user computer; a second interface to a wide area network connection to a distributed computer network (Cohen: see for example, Column 4 Line 58 – 64 and Figure 1 Element 119):

Cohen does not disclose expressly the blocking logic to selectively initiate a blocking signal to disable communications received from the second interface from being sent over the first interface to the end-user computer.

However, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Cohen to accommodate the blocking logic because Cohen teaches release the modem to the free pool when the inactivity is detected at the Ethernet port for TBD minutes (Cohen: see for example, Column 10 Line 65 – Column 11 Line 3) and thereby, the blocking logic, disable communications received from the second interface from being sent over the first interface to the end-user computer would be obvious when the effectiveness of reusing the blocking modem is not the concern – i.e. release the modem to the free pool as taught by Cohen is indeed increasing the overall system resource usage efficiently and meantime block the traffic from WAN to LAN as recited in the claim limitation.

As per claim 2, Cohen as modified teaches the claimed invention as described above (see claim 1). Cohen as modified further teaches the blocking logic sends the blocking signal in response to the detecting logic detecting the user inactivity for a selected period of time (Cohen: see for example, Column 10 Line 65 – Column 11 Line 3).

As per claim 3, Cohen as modified teaches the claimed invention as described above (see claim 2). Cohen as modified further teaches the selected period of time is

between one and ten minutes (Cohen: see for example, Column 10 Line 65 – Column 11 Line 3).

As per claim 4, 16 and 21, Cohen as modified teaches the claimed invention as described above (see claim 2. 10 and 20 respectively). Cohen as modified further teaches the selected period of time is a fixed time period (Cohen: see for example, Column 10 Line 65 – Column 11 Line 3).

As per claim 5 and 18, Cohen as modified teaches the claimed invention as described above (see claim 2 and 17 respectively). Cohen as modified further teaches the selected period of time is determined by a user of the end-user computer (Cohen: see for example, Column 10 Line 65 – Column 11 Line 3: Examiner notes TBD minutes as taught by Cohen could be either predetermined or user configurable time period which are two of most common practices well-known in the field).

As per claim 6, Cohen as modified teaches the claimed invention as described above (see claim 1). Cohen as modified further teaches the detection logic and the blocking logic is embedded within an auto-sensing Ethernet port (See same rationale as addressed above in rejecting the claim 1).

As per claim 8, Cohen as modified teaches the claimed invention as described above (see claim 1). Cohen as modified further teaches the distributed computer network is the Internet (Cohen: see for example, Column 1 Line 32 – 40).

As per claim 9, Cohen as modified teaches the claimed invention as described above (see claim 1). Cohen as modified further teaches the second interface is coupled to an internet service provider (Cohen: see for example, Column 1 Line 60 – 63).

As per claim 11, Cohen as modified teaches the claimed invention as described above (see claim 10). Cohen as modified further teaches detecting activity from the end-user computer at the routing equipment (Cohen: see for example, Column 10 Line 65 – Column 11 Line 3).

As per claim 14, Cohen as modified teaches the claimed invention as described above (see claim 10). Cohen as modified further teaches the first local data connection is an Ethernet connection (Cohen: see for example, Column 4 Line 58 – 64 and Figure 1 Element 119).

As per claim 17 and 22, Cohen as modified teaches the claimed invention as described above (see claim 10 and 20 respectively). Cohen as modified further teaches the idle time activity threshold is a programmable threshold (Cohen: see for example, See same rationale as addressed above in rejecting the claim 5).

As per claim 20, Cohen as modified teaches the claimed invention as described above (see claim 19). Cohen as modified further teaches during a second period of time after the first period of time, detecting activity at the first port of the digital subscriber line routing equipment indicating activity at the end-user computer and communicating data received at the second port of the digital subscriber line routing equipment to the first port of the digital subscriber line routing equipment and to the end-user computer (Cohen: see for example, Column 10 Line 65 – Column 11 Line 3: This is an obvious inherency feature as taught by Cohen).

As per claim 12 and 13, claim 12 and 13 do not further teach over claim 20. Therefore, see same rationale addressed above in rejecting claim 20.

3. Claims 7 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cohen (Patent Number: US 6477595 B1), hereinafter referred to as Cohen, in view of Gerszberg (Patent Number: US 6510152 B1), hereinafter referred to as Gerszberg.

As per claim 7 and 15, Cohen as modified teaches the claimed invention as described above (see claim 1 and 10 respectively). Cohen as modified teaches point to point protocol used. However, Cohen as modified does not disclose expressly the wide area network is a digital subscriber line connection that carries authenticated point to point protocol over Ethernet session traffic.

Gerszberg teaches the wide area network is a digital subscriber line connection that carries authenticated point to point protocol over Ethernet session traffic (Cohen: see for example, Column 21 Line 38 – 42 and Column 19 Line 24 – 29).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Gerszberg within the system of Cohen as modified because Gerszberg teaches an improved network such as Ethernet transported over DSL modems by providing higher bandwidth, improving the CPE capabilities and lowering overall system costs to the customer (Gerszberg: see for example, Column 1 Line 27 - 30 and Column 2 Line 40 - 43).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Longbit Chai whose telephone number is 571-272-3788. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:00am-4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ayaz R Sheikh can be reached on 571-272-3795. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-3788.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

**LBC** 

Longbit Chai Examiner Art Unit 2131

AUZ 131